1	CRAIG B. GARNER (CA SBN 177971)		
2	GARNER HEALTH LAW CORPORATION		
,	13274 Fiji Way, Suite 250		
3	Marina Del Rey, CA 90292		
4	Telephone: (310) 458-1560 Facsimile: (310) 694-9025		
5	Email: craig@garnerhealth.com		
	Eman. craig@garnerneam.com		
6	ROCHELLE J. BIOTEAU (CA SBN 228)	348)	
7	SQUIRES, SHERMAN & BIOTEAU, LL	,	
8	1901 1 ST Ave., Suite 415		
	San Diego, CA 92101		
9	Telephone: (619) 696-8854		
0	Email: rochelle@ssbllp.com		
1	DARON L. TOOCH (CA SBN 137269)		
2	KING & SPALDING LLP		
	533 West Fifth St., Suite 1600		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90071		
4	Telephone: (213) 443-4355		
5	Email: dtooch@kslaw.com		
	Attorneys for PLAINTIFF ABC SERVIC	ES GROUP INC in its canacity as	
6	assignee for the benefit of creditors of MC	_ · ·	
7		,	
8	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALII	FORNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION	
20			
21		Lead Case No. 8:19-cv-00243-DOC-	
22	ABC SERVICES GROUP, INC., a	DFM	
23	Delaware corporation, in its capacity as	Han David O. Cartan	
	assignee for the benefit of creditors of MORNINGSIDE RECOVERY, LLC, a	Hon. David O. Carter	
24	California limited liability company,	CONSOLIDATED AMENDED	
25	D1 : .:.00	COMPLAINT FOR:	
26	Plaintiff,	1. BREACH OF CONTRACT	
	V.	(THIRD PARTY	
27		BENEFICIARY)	
28	UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES,	2. BREACH OF CONTRACT	
	INC.; UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH; OPTUM SERVICES, INC;	(ASSIGNMENT)	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

1 USABLE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, doing business as 2 ARKANSAS BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD and BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF ARKANSAS BLUE ADVANTAGE; BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS, 5 INC.; BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS CITY: HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORPORATION, doing business as BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF OKLAHOMA; BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF ALABAMA; ANTHEM BLUE CROSS LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY; ANTHEM, INC., dba ANTHEM HEALTH, INC.; BLUE CROSS OF 11 CALIFORNIA, INC.; HUMANA 12 HEALTH PLAN OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; HUMANA BEHAVIORAL 13 HEALTH, INC.; HUMANA, INC.; HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY; AETNA HEALTH AND LIFE 15 INSURANCE COMPANY; BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF 16 TENNESSEE, INC.; SCOTT AND WHITE HEALTH PLAN; SCOTT 17 AND WHITE HEALTHCARE; SCOTT AND WHITE CARE PLANS: 18 CIGNA HEALTHCARE OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; CIGNA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF 20 CALIFORNIA, INC.; CIGNA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE 21 COMPANY; HMC HEALTHWORKS, 22 INC.; UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, INC.; 23 CONNECTICARE, INC.; MEDICA HEALTH PLANS, doing business as MEDICA; PACIFICSOURCE HEALTH PLANS; SIERRA HEALTH 25 AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, 26 INC.; MEDICAL MUTUAL OF OHIO; MEDICAL MUTUAL SERVICES, 27 LLC; GROUP HEALTH PLAN, INC.,

doing business as

HEALTHPARTNERS; GOLDEN

28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1	RULE INSURANCE COMPANY;
2	AMERIHEALTH INSURANCE
3	COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY, doing business as AMERIHEALTH NEW
	JERSEY; MERITAIN HEALTH, INC.;
4	BEACON HEALTH OPTIONS, INC.; BEACON HEALTH STRATEGIES,
5	LLC; VALUEOPTIONS OF
6	CALIFORNIA, INC.; COVENTRY
7	HEALTH CARE, INC.; MHNET SPECIALTY SERVICES, LLC;
	COMMON GROUND HEALTHCARE
8	COOPERATIVE; PROVIDENCE HEALTH PLAN; PROVIDENCE
9	HEALTH ASSURANCE;
10	PROVIDENCE HEALTH &
11	SERVICES; FIRST HEALTH INSURANCE CORPORATION;
12	HEALTHLINK, INC.; MOLINA
	HEALTHCARE, INC.; and MOLINA HEALTHCARE OF CALIFORNIA,
13	INC.,
14	Defendants.
15	Berendants.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

1

28

- 2 | 1. 8:19-cv-00531-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. United Healthcare Services, Inc., et al.)
- 4 2. 8:19-cv-00803-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. USAble Mutual Insurance Company, et al.)
- 8:19-cv-00776-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Health Care Service Corporation, et al.)
- 8 | 4. 8:19-cv-00789-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama, et al.)
- 10 | 5. 8:19-cv-00677-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company, et al.)
- 12 **6.** 8:20-cv-00175-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Humana Behavioral Health, Inc., et al.)
- 7. 8:19-cv-00777-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Defendants Health and Life Insurance Company, et al.)
- 8:19-cv-00804-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Bluecross Blueshield of Tennessee, Inc., et al.)
- 18 | 9. 8:19-cv-02070-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Scott and White Health Plan., et al.)
- 10. 8:19-cv-02125-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Cigna Healthcare of California, Inc., et al.)
- 22 | 11. 8:19-cv-02136-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. HMC Healthworks, Inc., et al.)
- 24 | 12. 8:19-cv-02138-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v United Medical Resources, Inc., et al.)
- 26 | 13. 8:19-cv-02163-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Connecticare, Inc., et al.)
 - **14.** 8:19-cv-02164-DOC-DFM and 8:19-cv-02236-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Medica Health Plans, et al.*)

CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT -- PAGE 4

1 8:19-cv-02165-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. PacificSource **15.** 2 Health Plans, et al.) 3 8:19-cv-02168-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Sierra Health and **16.** Life Insurance Company, Inc., et al.) 4 5 17. 8:19-cv-02122-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Medical Mutual of Ohio, et al.) 6 7 8:19-cv-02242-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Group Health Plan, **18.** *Inc., et al.*) 8 9 19. 8:19-cv092184-DOC-DFM(ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Golden Rule *Insurance Company, et al.*) 10 11 20. 8:19-cv-02180-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Amerihealth *Insurance Company of New Jersey, et al.*) 12 13 21. 8:19-cv-02182-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Meritain Health, *Inc., et al.*) 14 8:19-cv-02204-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Beacon Health 15 22. Options, Inc., et al.) 16 8:19-cv-02131-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Coventry Health 17 23. Care, Inc., et al. [previously 8:19-cv-09432-DOC-DFM]) 18 19 24. 8:19-cv-02219-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. MHNet Specialty Services, LLC., et al.) 20 **25.** 8:19-cv-02210-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Common Ground 21 *Healthcare Cooperative, et al.*) 22 8:19-cv-02172-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Providence Health **26.** 23 Plan, et al.) 24 8:19-cv-02171-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. First Health Group 27. 25 Corporation, et al.) 26 28. 8:19-cv-02188-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. HealthLink, Inc., et

27

28

al.)

29. 8:19-cv-02118-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Molina Healthcare, *Inc., et al.*) 8:19-cv-02129-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v GHI, Inc., et al.)¹ **30.**

The Clerk of the Court entered the default against defendant GHI, Inc., the only named defendant in Case No. 8:19-cv-02129 (ECF No. 343).

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to the May 4, 2020 Order of this Court (ECF No. 383), ABC SERVICES GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation ("ABC" or "Plaintiff"), in its capacity as assignee for the benefit of creditors of MORNINGSIDE RECOVERY, LLC, a California limited liability company ("Morningside") complains and alleges in this Consolidated Amended Complaint ("Complaint") against Defendants UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.; UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH; OPTUM SERVICES, INC; USABLE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, doing business as ARKANSAS BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD and BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF ARKANSAS BLUE ADVANTAGE; BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS, INC.; BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS CITY; HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORPORATION, doing business as BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF OKLAHOMA; BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF ALABAMA; ANTHEM BLUE CROSS LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY; ANTHEM, INC., dba ANTHEM HEALTH, INC.; BLUE CROSS OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; HUMANA HEALTH PLAN OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; HUMANA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, INC.; HUMANA, INC.; HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY; AETNA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY; BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF TENNESSEE, INC.; SCOTT AND WHITE HEALTH PLAN; SCOTT AND WHITE HEALTHCARE; SCOTT AND WHITE CARE PLANS; COMPSYCH CORPORATION; CIGNA HEALTHCARE OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; CIGNA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; CIGNA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY; HMC HEALTHWORKS, INC.; UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, INC.; CONNECTICARE, INC.; MEDICA HEALTH PLANS, doing business as MEDICA; PACIFICSOURCE HEALTH PLANS; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.; MEDICAL MUTUAL OF OHIO; MEDICAL MUTUAL SERVICES, LLC; GROUP HEALTH PLAN, INC., doing business as HEALTHPARTNERS; GOLDEN RULE INSURANCE COMPANY;

4. Defendant UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH is, and at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits.

business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws

and regulations of the State of California.

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that UNITED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California

- 5. Defendant OPTUM SERVICES, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Delaware corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that OPTUM SERVICES, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California
- business as ARKANSAS BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD as well as BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF ARKANSAS TRUE ADVANTAGE is, and at all relevant times was an Arkansas corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that USABLE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 7. Defendant BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Kansas corporation licensed to do business in and

is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California

- **8.** This paragraph is intentionally left blank.
- 9. Defendant BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS CITY is, and at all relevant times was a Missouri corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF KANSAS CITY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- business as BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF OKLAHOMA is, and at all relevant times was an Oklahoma corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORPORATION is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

11. Defendant BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF ALABAMA is, and at all relevant times was an Alabama corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that BLUE CROSS AND BLUE SHIELD OF ALABAMA is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California

- 12. Defendant ANTHEM BLUE CROSS LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY is, and at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that ANTHEM BLUE CROSS LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 13. Defendant ANTHEM, INC., dba ANTHEM HEALTH, INC. is, and at all relevant times was an Indiana corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that ANTHEM INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

- Defendant BLUE CROSS OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is, and at all 14. relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that BLUE CROSS OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
 - 15. Defendant HUMANA HEALTH PLAN OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that HUMANA HEALTH PLAN OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
 - 16. Defendant HUMANA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Texas corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that HUMANA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

- Defendant HUMANA, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Delaware corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that HUMANA, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California
- 18. Defendant HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY is, and at all relevant times was a Wisconsin corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that HUMANA INSURANCE COMPANY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 19. Defendant AETNA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY is, and at all relevant times was a Connecticut corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that AETNA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- **20.** Defendant BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF TENNESSEE, INC. is, and at all relevant times was an Tennessee corporation licensed to do business in

6

5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that BLUECROSS BLUESHIELD OF TENNESSEE, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

- 21. Defendant SCOTT AND WHITE HEALTH PLAN is, and at all relevant times was a Texas corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that SCOTT AND WHITE HEALTH PLAN is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 22. Defendant SCOTT AND WHITE HEALTHCARE is, and at all relevant times was a Texas corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that SCOTT AND WHITE HEALTHCARE is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- Defendant SCOTT AND WHITE CARE PLANS is, and at all relevant 23. times was a Texas corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits.

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that SCOTT AND WHITE CARE PLANS is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

- 24. Defendant CIGNA HEALTHCARE OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that CIGNA HEALTHCARE OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 25. Defendant CIGNA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that CIGNA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California
- **26.** Defendant CIGNA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY is, and at all relevant times was a Connecticut corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health

- insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that CIGNA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 27. Defendant HMC HEALTHWORKS, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Florida corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that HMC Healthworks, Inc. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 28. Defendant UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- **29.** Defendant CONNECTICARE, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Connecticut corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that CONNECTICARE is

- licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 30. Defendant MEDICA HEALTH PLANS, doing business as MEDICA is, and at all relevant times was a Minnesota corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that MEDICA HEALTH PLANS is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 31. Defendant PACIFICSOURCE HEALTH PLANS is, and at all relevant times was an Oregon corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that PACIFICSOURCE HEALTH PLANS is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 32. Defendant SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Nevada corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY is licensed by the

- California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 33. Defendant MEDICAL MUTUAL OF OHIO is, and at all relevant times was an Ohio corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that MEDICAL MUTUAL OF OHIO is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 34. Defendant MEDICAL MUTUAL SERVICES, LLC is, and at all relevant times was an OHIO limited liability company licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that MEDICAL MUTUAL SERVICES, LLC is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 35. Defendant GROUP HEALTH PLAN, INC., doing business as HEALTHPARTNERS is, and at all relevant times was a Minnesota corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that GROUP HEALTH PLAN, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed

2 3

> 4 5

7

8

6

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

20

21

19

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

- Defendant GOLDEN RULE INSURANCE COMPANY is, and at all **36.** relevant times was an Indiana corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that GOLDEN RULE INSURANCE COMPANY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 37. Defendant AMERIHEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY, doing business as AMERIHEALTH NEW JERSEY is, and at all relevant times was a New Jersey corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that AMERIHEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 38. Defendant MERITAIN HEALTH, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a New York corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that MERITAIN HEALTH, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State

of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

- 39. Defendant BEACON HEALTH OPTIONS, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Virginia corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that BEACON HEALTH OPTIONS, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 40. Defendant BEACON HEALTH STRATEGIES, LLC is, and at all relevant times was a Massachusetts limited liability company licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that BEACON HEALTH STRATEGIES, LLC is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 41. Defendant VALUEOPTIONS OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that VALUE OPTIONS OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the

business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

- 42. Defendant COVENTRY HEALTH CARE, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a Pennsylvania and Connecticut corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that COVENTRY HEALTH CARE, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 43. Defendant MHNET SPECIALTY SERVICES, LLC is, and at all relevant times was a Maryland limited liability company, licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that MHNET SPECIALTY SERVICES, LLC is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 44. Defendant COMMON GROUND HEALTHCARE COOPERATIVE is, and at all relevant times was a corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that COMMON GROUND HEALTH CARE is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of

- insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 45. Defendant PROVIDENCE HEALTH PLAN is, and at all relevant times was an Oregon public benefit corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that PROVIDENCE HEALTH PLAN is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 46. Defendant PROVIDENCE HEALTH ASSURANCE is, and at all relevant times was an Oregon public benefit corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that PROVIDENCE HEALTH ASSURANCE is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- 47. Defendant PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES is, and at all relevant times was a Washington corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of

regulations of the State of California.

4

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28 State of California.

- insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and
- 48. Defendant FIRST HEALTH INSURANCE CORPORATION is, and at all relevant times was a Delaware corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that FIRST HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- Defendant HEALTHLINK, INC. is, and at all relevant times was an 49. Illinois corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that HEALTHLINK, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.
- **50.** Defendant MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC. is, and at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the

at all relevant times was a California corporation licensed to do business in and is and was doing business in the State of California as a provider of health insurance benefits. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that MOLINA OF HEATHCARE OF CALIFORNIA, INC. is licensed by the California Department of Insurance and/or the California Department of Managed Health Care to transact business of insurance in the State of California, is in fact transacting the business of insurance in the State of California and is thereby subject to the laws and regulations of the State of California.

STANDING

- which is why the courts have crafted it over the years even though it appears to contradict the clear text of many insurance policies and the courts' expressed fidelity to contract language." *Fluor Corp. v. Superior Ct.*, 61 Cal. 4th 1175, 1218-19 (2015). California Insurance Code Section 520 states "[a]n agreement not to transfer the claim of the insured against the insurer after a loss has happened, is void if made before the loss except as otherwise provided." *See also Yazdi v. Aetna Life & Casualty (Bermuda) Ltd.*, 2019 WL 6720989 at * 4 (C.D. Cal., Jan. 2, 2019) ("California Insurance Code § 520 protects an insured's ability to assign rights under an insurance policy after the loss has occurred.").
- from patient to provider, but also to an assignee such as ABC. A general assignment for the benefit of creditors is a conveyance, without consideration, by a debtor of substantially all of the debtor's property to an assignee in trust for the purpose of applying the property or its proceeds to the payment of the debtor's debts and returning any surplus to the debtor. It is a voluntary transfer by a debtor of the debtor's property to an assignee in trust for the purpose of applying the property thereof to the payment of the debtor's debts. An assignment for the

benefit of creditors is an alternative to a Chapter 7 bankruptcy liquidation, whereby the debtor assigns substantially all of its assets to the assignee instead of a bankruptcy trustee for the benefit of the debtor's creditors.

- 54. On or about September 21, 2018, Morningside executed a written Assignment (the "Morningside Assignment") pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 493.010 through 493.060 and §§ 1800 through 18902. Pursuant to the Morningside Assignment, Morningside conveyed to ABC all of Morningside's property and every right, claim and interest of Morningside, including the right to prosecute this action for the benefit of Morningside's creditors. ABC brings this action in its capacity as the assignee for the benefit of creditors of Morningside pursuant to the Morningside Assignment. A true and correct copy of the Morningside Assignment is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 1.
- 55. At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 3, 4, and 5 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 3, 4, and 5 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.
- 56. At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 6, 7, 8 and 9 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these

Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 6, 7,8 and 9 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.

- 57. At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 12, 13, and 14 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 12, 13, and 14 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.
- 58. At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 15, 16, 17, and 18 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 15, 16, 17, and 18 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

15 16

14

17

19

18

20

22

21

23 24

25 26

27 28 and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.

- **59.** At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 21, 22, and 23 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 21, 22, and 23 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.
- At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants **60.** set forth in paragraphs 24, 25, and 26 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 24, 25, and 26 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.
- 61. At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 33 and 34 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants

has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 33 and 34 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.

- 62. At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 39, 40, and 41 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 39, 40, and 41 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.
- 63. At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 45, 46, 47 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 45, 46, 47 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims,

issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.

64. At all relevant times herein, unless otherwise indicated, the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 50 and 51 were the agents and/or employees of each of the remaining Defendants in those paragraphs and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each of these Defendants has ratified and approved the acts of the agent. At all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants set forth in paragraphs 50 and 51 had actual or ostensible authority to act on each other's behalf in certifying or authorizing the provision of services, processing and administering the claims and appeals, pricing the claims, approving or denying the claims, directing each other as to whether and/or how to pay claims, issuing remittance advices and EOB statements, and making payments to Plaintiff and/or the Patients.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 65. This Court has diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and § 1442(a)(1) because these civil actions involve citizens of different states in which the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of costs and interest.
- claims as such claims arose from a common nucleus of facts. *Nishimoto v.*Federman Bachrach & Assoc., 903 F.2d 709 (9th Cir. 1990). Likewise, this Court has the authority to maintain pendent jurisdiction even after the federal claims upon which jurisdiction is based have been dismissed or rendered moot. Herklotz v.

 Parkinson, 848 F.3d 894, 897 (9th Cir. 2017); Baker v. Farmers Elec. Co-op, Inc., 34 F.3d 274, 283 (5th Cir. 1994); see also Arbaugh v. Y&H Corp., 546 U.S. 500, 514 ("[W]hen a court grants a motion to dismiss for failure to state a federal claim, the court generally retains discretion to exercise supplemental jurisdiction . . . over pendent state-law claims."). With a July 1, 2020 discovery cut-off date (extended

from June 1 due to the pandemic novel coronavirus (COVID-19)) and all of the Consolidated Defendants' responses due by order of this Court on May 8, 2020, the decision to retain the supplemental jurisdiction claims, in additional to the majority of claims before this Court pursuant to diversity jurisdiction, the final decision rests with this District Court Judge. *Retail Property Trust v. United Broth. of Carpenters and Joiners of Am.*, 768 F.3d 938 (9th Cir. 2014).

67. This Court is the proper venue for this action pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1392(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in this Judicial District, because one or more of the Defendants conducts a substantial amount of business in this Judicial District, and pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2) because it is the Judicial District in which the breach occurred.

INTRODUCTION

- 68. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that none of the claims set forth in this Complaint are governed by ERISA. On May 4, 2020, this Court dismissed Plaintiff's ERISA claim for relief with prejudice [ECF No. 383, pp. 4-5]. While Plaintiff is not yet in possession of all of the plan documents in this action, *see* May 4, 2020 Order [ECF No. 393, p. 8], in the event a claim governed by ERISA is mistakenly included in this Complaint, once known to Plaintiff the claim shall be withdrawn.
- **69.** As of 2014, the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (the "ACA") required health insurance plans, including those sold by Defendants, to

Once Plaintiff has complied with its meet and confer obligations under the Local Rules for the Central District of California, Plaintiff will seek an order from this court under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 54(b), to enter partial judgment on Plaintiff's claim for relief under ERISA. Due to the distinctive nature of the ERISA claims, Plaintiff will *not* seek a stay of this action.

provide ten categories of "essential health benefits," including mental health and substance abuse treatment. 42 U.S.C. § 18022.

- 70. At all relevant times herein, Morningside was a non-contracting (as to Defendants) mental and SUD treatment and rehabilitation facility operating in Orange County, California, also referred to as a "non-contracted" or "out-of-network" provider. At all relevant times herein, Morningside offered a therapeutically planned rehabilitation intervention environment for the treatment of individuals with behavioral concerns and SUD.
- 71. At all relevant times herein, Morningside provided a finite number of services to its patients, all of which are identified by either the Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System ("HCPCS") Codes or the Current Procedural Terminology ("CPT") Codes, including but not limited the following:
 - a. H0010: alcohol and/or drug services, sub-acute detoxification (residential addiction program inpatient);
 - b. H0018: alcohol and drug abuse treatment services, short-term residential treatment (non-hospital);
 - c. H0035: partial hospitalization treatment;
 - d. H0015: intensive outpatient program;
 - e. 90792: psychiatric diagnostic evaluation;
 - f. H0048, 80320, 80305, G0434, and G0477: drug testing procedures;
 - g. 90876, 90837 and 90853: individual and group therapy sessions.
- 72. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants generally enter into private agreements with health care facilities thereby extending to them "in network" provider status. Out-of-network claims are distinguished by the fact that when members/patients obtain health care services from an out-of-network provider, like Morningside, members/patients are

responsible for charges that the plan might not cover, or that exceed Defendants' reimbursement obligation to members/patients under the Plans.

- 73. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that this practice is known to Defendants and others in the industry as "steerage", which is a method by which facilities that maintain in-network status may refer patients to each other pursuant to in-network agreements. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants concludes that referrals to and amongst facilities within the in-network community are permitted without fear of reprisal by state regulatory commissions that prohibit patient referrals for a fee, and the in-network status also protects members/patients from incurring excessive facility charges that are often imposed when a patient uses an out-of-network facility.
- 74. Morningside provided and rendered services, SUD and/or mental health treatment to members, subscribers and insured of Defendants, each of whom was a patient of Morningside and hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Patients". As a result, Morningside, and now ABC, has became entitled to reimbursement, remuneration and/or payment from Defendants for those services and supplies Morningside rendered to the Patients.
- 75. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that some or all of the Patients had express coverage for mental health and SUD treatment services as a delineated benefit of *non*-ERISA plans which were underwritten and/or administered by Defendants (individually a "Plan" or collectively the "Plans").
- 76. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that some or all of the Patients were plan participants and/or beneficiaries of the Plans.

 Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that some or all of the Patients were entitled to be reimbursed for the cost of mental health and SUD treatment as the benefit from Defendants' Plans, policies and insurance

agreements governing the relationship between each Patient and Defendants (collectively the "Defendants' Plans"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants' Plans provided coverage for both in and out-of-network mental health providers, and for admission to treatment centers for SUD treatment by SUD treatment providers and related services received on an outpatient basis, inpatient basis, partial inpatient basis and/or intensive outpatient basis, including but not limited to coverage for the Services provided by Morningside.

- 77. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that certain Patients had preferred provider organization ("PPO") plan benefits or point of service ("POS") plan benefits that allowed them to seek medically necessary benefits, whether in-network or not and were entitled to reimbursement for their claims because Morningside was an out-of-network provider for Defendants. The Patients' claims should not have been denied or underpaid as Defendants' Plans provide coverage for the very services performed by Morningside, including but not limited to coverage for mental health and SUD treatment.
- 78. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Patients whose claims are at issue in this lawsuit requirement treatment for SUD and/or were suffering from serious medical and mental health concerns, sometimes related to their addictions and sometimes unrelated. Each of the Patients who did choose PPO insurance rather than health maintenance organization ("HMO") insurance through their employers make this decision so that they could receive plan benefits from the physicians and other medical providers of their choice, regardless of whether the health care practitioners were in-network or out-of-network with Defendants. Defendants, who administer and/or underwrite the PPO insurance for the Patient's employers, advertise, publicize and represent on their websites, in their literature and in commercials that the benefit of

4 5

6

8

7

9 10

11 12

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

their PPO policies include the freedom to choose any doctor for any and all health care needs.

- **79.** Morningside requested that Defendants authorize the Patients to undergo treatment at Morningside for SUD treatment and for Defendants to authorize Morningside to provide the same treatment and care to the Patients. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants authorized the Patients to undergo mental health and SUD treatment at Morningside and verified that each of the Patients had coverage which included coverage for the treatment Morningside provided. A true and correct copy of a sample, express authorization from one of the Defendants is attached hereto and incorporated by this reference as Exhibit 2.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that no **80.** provisions in the Plans justified the failure to issue a final decision or denial on any of the Patient claims, and no provision in the subject Plans justified the failure and refusal of Defendants to issue an Explanation of Benefits ("EOB") statement, delineating and explaining the justification or rationale for refusing to pay, cover and reimburse the Patient claims or to adjust those claims. These failures and refusals by Defendants were therefore arbitrary, capricious and a breach of Defendants fiduciary duties to plan participants. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that these failures and refusals were also violative of California state law.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that for 81. each Plan involved in this lawsuit, the terms of the Plan: (1) provided coverage for each of the services, supplies and treatments rendered by Morningside to each Patient for whom reimbursement, payment and coverage is sought; and (2) dictated that these covered services be paid according to a specific reimbursement rate (such as the reasonable and customary fees for services charged by Morningside or according to other formulae or allowable rates expressly and specifically provided

in the Plans. Plans from the Consolidated Defendants are set forth, *infra*, at paragraphs 117, 122, 127, 132, 137, 142, 147, 152, 157, 162, 167, 172, 177, 182, 187, 192, 197, 202 207, 212, 217, 222, 227, 232, 237, 242, 247, 252, 257, 262, and 267.

- **82.** Each of the Patients have assigned to Morningside all of their legal and equitable rights to payment under California law with respect to the Plans in writing, including but not limited to their rights to recover the benefits owed to them by Defendants to Morningside, by and through an irrevocable assignment of all of their rights, title and interest in and to the claims against Defendants. These assignments conferred up on Morningside and/or Plaintiff the right to stand in the shoes of the Patients and to assert all of the rights held by the Patients as to Defendants and/or as to the Plans administered by Defendants, including but not limited to all rights, powers and equitable remedies of the Patients, the right of Plaintiff to substitute in as a party or plaintiff in any past, present or future litigation regarding the Patient's claims against Defendants, the right to the proceeds of all legal fees and costs, if specifically awarded, and any interest if specifically awarded, and the right to make and effect collections, including the commencement of legal proceedings on behalf of the Patients. A true and correct copy of a sample assignment signed by the Patients is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 3 as if set forth in full. Additional assignments signed by the Patients are included hereinbelow, *infra* paragraphs 114, 119, 124, 129, 134, 139, 144, 149, 154, 159, 164, 169, 174, 179, 184, 189, 194, 199, 204, 209, 214, 219, 224, 229, 234, 239, 244, 249, 254, 259 and 264.
- **83.** California law favors assignments to such an extent that even a provision in a contract or a rule of law against assignment does not preclude the assignment of money due or to become due under the contract or of money damages for the breach of the contract. *See, e.g., Gottlied v. Alphabet Inc.*, 2018

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WL 2010976, at *4 (N.D. Cal., Apr. 30, 2018); *Trubowitch v. Riverbank Canning Co.*, 30 Cal. 3d 335, 339 (1947).

- **84.** To the extent required under California state law, in compliance with the terms of each Plan, Plaintiff, Morningside and/or the Patients have exhausted any and all claims review, grievance, administrative appeals, and appeals requirements by submitting letters, appeals, grievances, requests for reconsideration and request for payment to Defendants.
- 85. Alternatively, and to the extent required under California law, all review, appeal, administrative grievances or complaint procedures are excused as a matter of law, are violative of Plaintiff's due process rights, are or would be futile, or are otherwise unlawful, null, void and unenforceable. Defendants' pattern of behavior and refusal to reimburse Plaintiff rendered all potential administrative remedies futile. As a result of Defendants' actions and/or omissions, Defendants are estopped from asserting that Plaintiff or Morningside has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies under the Plans. Alternatively, by Defendants' failure and refusal to establish, maintain and follow a reasonable claim procedure process, Plaintiff and/or its Patients have exhausted the administrative remedies available under the Plans and are entitled to pursue this action, inasmuch as Defendants have failed to provide a reasonable claims procedure that would yield a decision on the merits of the claim, in violation of California state law. Under California law, "an insurer is precluded from refusing to honor an insured's assignment of the right to invoke defense or indemnification coverage regarding that loss. This result obtains even without consent by the insurer. – and even though the dollar amount of the loss remains unknown or undetermined until established later by a judgment or approval settlement." Fluor Corp., supra, 61 Cal. 4th at 1224. //

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 |

28 //

//

1 PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS Plaintiff alleges below two separate claims for relief against each 2 **86.** 3 named Defendant, all of which were originally filed as part of separate lawsuits. These lawsuits include: 4 5 8:19-cv-00531-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. United a. Healthcare Services, Inc., United Behavioral Health, Optum 6 Services, Inc.); 7 8 8:19-cv-00803-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. USAble b. 9 Mutual Insurance Company, et al.). This case included multiple parties now part of the Consolidated Defendants, including: 10 11 i. USAble Mutual Insurance Company, doing business as Arkansas Blue Cross and Blue Shield as well as Blue Cross 12 13 and Blue Shield of Arkansas Blue Advantage; Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas, Inc.; 14 ii. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Mississippi; and 15 iii. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas City. 16 17 8:19-cv-00776-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Health c. 18 Care Service Corporation, doing business as Blue Cross Blue Shield of Oklahoma); 19 20 8:19-cv-00789-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Blue d. 21 Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama); 8:19-cv-00677-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Anthem 22 e. 23 Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company, Anthem, Inc., 24 dba Anthem Health, Inc.); 25 8:20-cv-00175-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. f. Humana Behavioral Health, Inc., Humana, Inc., Humana 26 27 *Insurance Company*);

1	g.	8:19-cv-00777-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
2		Defendants Aetna Health and Life Insurance Company);
3	h.	8:19-cv-00804-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
4		Bluecross Blueshield of Tennessee, Inc.);
5	i.	8:19-cv-02070-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Scott
6		and White Health Plan., Scott and White Care Plans);
7	j.	8:19-cv-02125-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Cigna
8		Healthcare of California, Inc., Cigna Behavioral Health of
9		California, Inc., Cigna Health and Life Insurance Company);
10	k.	8:19-cv-02136-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. HMC
11		Healthworks, Inc.);
12	l.	8:19-cv-02138-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v United
13		Medical Resources, Inc.);
14	m.	8:19-cv-02163-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
15		Connecticare, Inc.);
16	n.	8:19-cv-02164-DOC-DFM and 8:19-cv-02236-DOC-DFM (ABC
17		Services Group, Inc. v. Medica Health Plans, doing business as
18		Medica);
19	0.	8:19-cv-02165-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
20		PacificSource Health Plans);
21	p.	8:19-cv-02168-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Sierra
22		Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc.);
23	q.	8:19-cv-02122-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
24		Medical Mutual of Ohio, Medical Mutual Services, LLC);
25	r.	8:19-cv-02242-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Group
26		Health Plan, Inc., doing business as HealthPartners);
27	s.	8:19-cv-02184-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Golden
28		Rule Insurance Company);
		CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT PAGE 38

1	t.	8:19-cv-02180-DOC-DFM_(ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
2		Amerihealth Insurance Company of New Jersey, doing business
3		as Amerihealth New Jersey);
4	u.	8:19-cv-02182-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
5		Meritain Health, Inc.);
6	v.	8:19-cv-02204-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Beacon
7		Health Options, Inc., Beacon Health Strategies, LLC,
8		ValueOptions of California, Inc.);
9	w.	8:19-cv-02131-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
10		Coventry Health Care, Inc. [previously 8:19-cv-09432-DOC-
11		DFM]);
12	х.	8:19-cv-02219-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. MHNet
13		Specialty Services, LLC);
14	y.	8:19-cv-02210-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
15		Common Ground Healthcare Cooperative);
16	z.	8:19-cv-02172-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
17		Providence Health Plan, Providence Health Assurance and
18		Providence Health & Services);
19	aa.	8:19-cv-02171-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. First
20		Health Group Corporation);
21	bb.	8:19-cv-02188-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v.
22		HealthLink, Inc.); and
23	cc.	8:19-cv-02118-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Molina
24		Healthcare, Inc., Molina Healthcare of California, Inc.)
25	SUM	IMARY OF PATIENTS' CLAIMS BY DEFENDANT
26	87. The Patients have not been identified by name in this Complaint to	
27	protect their right of privacy. Plaintiff provided information to Defendants	
28	regarding treatment and services for Patients in each of the 29 lawsuits at issue in	
ı	1	

- this action, and as further set forth below, all pursuant to the Plans. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that for each Defendant, counsel for Plaintiff has produced detailed information for each of the Patients. In some instances, as Plaintiff has not received all applicable plan documents, Plaintiff is unable at this time to allege with any greater specificity the amount at issue as to each of the named Defendants. Upon receipt of all of the Plans from Defendants, the requests for which Plaintiff has already made and the information should be forthcoming as soon as May 8, 2020, Plaintiff will update the information if requested.
- 88. Each of the Patients received mental health and/or SUD treatment at Morningside's facility. Payments are due and owing by Defendants to Plaintiff for the care, treatment and procedures provided to the Patients, all of whom were insured, members, policy holders, certificate holders or otherwise covered for charges by Morningside through policies or certificates of insurance issued, underwritten and/or administered by Defendants.
- 89. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Patients for whom claims are at issue was an insured of Defendants either as a subscriber to coverage or a dependent of a subscriber to coverage under a policy or certificate of insurance issued, administered and/or underwritten by Defendants. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and based therein alleges, that each of the Patients for whom claims are at issue was covered by a valid insurance agreement with Defendants for the specific purpose of ensuring that the Patients would have access to medically necessary treatments, care, procedures and related care by out-of-network providers such as Morningside.
- **90.** In the alternative, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that some of the Patients for whom claims are at issue were covered by self-funded plans which were administered by Defendants. The identify of those Plans which are self-funded is known to Defendants, but only known in part to

Plaintiff. Those self-funded Plans provided coverage to the Patients either as a subscriber to coverage or as a dependent of a subscriber to coverage under the certificate of coverage administered by Defendants. For these self-funded plans, to the extent this is applicable under California state law, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants were a claim fiduciary, plan fiduciary and administrator charged with making claim determinations on behalf of the Plans.

- 91. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Patients for whom claims are at issue was covered by a valid benefit plan, providing coverage for medical and mental health expenses, for the specific purpose of ensuring that the Patients would have access to medically necessary treatments, care and procedures by out-of-network providers like Morningside and ensuring Defendants would pay for the health care expenses incurred by the Patients for the services rendered by Morningside.
- 92. At all relevant times, each of the Patients received medical and/or paramedical services, procedures, mental health care, SUD treatment or other health care services from Morningside. Upon rendition of services to each of the Patients, each of the Patients became legally indebted, responsible and liable to Plaintiff for the full cost of and for payment of those services. Prior to the rendition of care by Morningside, Morningside sought and obtained a guarantee from the Patients that they would be legally responsible, liable and indebted for the full cost of and for payment of those services to be rendered by Morningside.
- 93. Each of the Patients requested Morningside to render and provide medical treatment and professional services, knowing that Morningside was an out-of-network provider. Each of the Patients sought out, requested and requisitioned treatment and professional services from Morningside and selected and chose Morningside to provide him or her with said services based upon Morningside's reputation in the community, experience and availability to render immediate care.

6

4

9

10 11

12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

27 28

26

Each of the Patients signed written admission agreements in which the Patients agreed to be obligated, legally responsible and liable for the full amount of the charges incurred for services rendered at Morningside.

- Each of the Patients presented his or her insurance card to Morningside, 94. which card identified the Patient as an insured, subscriber and/or member of Defendants. These identification cards, which were issued by Defendants, did not identify whether the coverage was underwritten by Defendants as an insurer or whether Defendants was acting as a third-party administrator of a self-funded plan.
- 95. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each and every one of the Patients had express coverage for mental health and SUD treatment benefits under the applicable Plan or policy covering that Patient which was issued or administered by Defendants. As such, each Plan was required to offer coverage for mental health and SUD treatment in parity with the medical and surgical benefits afforded by the same plan, as required by 26 U.S.C. § 9812(3)(A), which mandates that:

In the case of a group health plan that provides both medical and surgical benefits and mental health or substance use disorder benefits, such plan shall ensure that –

- the financial requirements applicable to such mental health or i. substance use disorder benefits are no more restrictive than the predominant financial requirements applied to substantially all medical and surgical benefits covered by the plan, and there are no separate cost sharing requirements that are applicable only with respect to mental health or substance use disorder benefits; and
- ii. the treatment limitations applicable to such mental health or substance use disorder benefits are no more restrictive than the predominant treatment limitations applied to substantially all

medical and surgical benefits covered by the plan and there are no separate treatment limitations that are applicable only with respect to mental health or substance use disorder benefits.

96. Additionally, 26 U.S.C. § 9812(5) mandates that out-of-network providers such as Morningside be treated in parity with medical providers and with in-network providers of mental health and SUD treatment, stating:

In the case of a plan that provides both medical and surgical benefits and mental health or substance use disorder benefits, if the plan provides coverage for medical or surgical benefits provided by out-of-network providers, the plan shall provide coverage for mental health or substance use disorder benefits provided by out-of-network providers in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of this section.

97. Federal law also requires that insurers and Plans articulate the reason and rationale for any denial of benefits, stating:

The criteria for medical necessity determinations made under the plan with respect to mental health or substance use disorder benefits shall be made available by the plan administrator in accordance with regulations to any current or potential participant, beneficiary, or contracting provider upon request. The reason for any denial under the plan of reimbursement or payment for services with respect to mental health or substance use disorder benefits in the case of any participant or beneficiary shall, on request or as otherwise required, be made available by the plan administrator to the participant or beneficiary in accordance with regulations

98. The failure and refusal of Defendants to articulate the reasons, rationales and/or criteria it used in denying benefits for coverage for the Patients'

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

claims constitutes a breach of 26 U.S.C. § 9812(4) and the applicable regulations promulgated thereunder.

- 99. The failure and refusal of Defendants to pay Plaintiff for the SUD treatments rendered by Morningside to the Patients violated 26 U.S.C. § 9812(3) per se. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants has discriminated against it and other mental health and SUD treatment providers by applying financial requirements and treatment limitations different than those applied to medical health providers.
- 100. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants has investigated, adjusted, processed and examined Plaintiff's claims, in a manner different than the manner in which it investigates, adjusts, processes and examines the claims of medical providers, by subjecting Plaintiff's claims to delays, by requesting additional information which is irrelevant to the claim process, by offsetting payments it acknowledged were owed on claims for the Patients by amounts owed on account of other patients who were not related to the Patients but who were insured by Defendants and who had received SUD treatments at Plaintiff at different times when treatment had been rendered to the Patients. As a result, Defendants has breached the statutory mandates of 26 U.S.C. § 9812, et. seq. and/or California state law, and Defendants owe payment benefits to Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at trial, but no less than \$50,000,000.00.
- 101. Under California law, Plaintiff is entitled to the benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients and by virtue of the assignment of rights given by each of the Patients to Morningside and from Morningside to ABC.
- 102. At all relevant times herein, Morningside and Plaintiff were authorized by law to act on behalf of the Patient with respect to the filing of claims with Defendants, demanding production of documents from Defendants, filing appeals on behalf of the Patients with Defendants, and otherwise pursuing actions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

on behalf of the Patients with respect to the Patients' Plans in accordance with California state law.

- 103. Other than those documents provided by Defendants, Plaintiff is not privy to, nor does it possess or have access to, any other EOC documents, Plan Documents, policies or Certificates of Insurance which may be issued to the Patients. As such, in many instances Plaintiff does not have knowledge of or access to the definition of an "allowable amount" or "allowable benefit" as that term is defined or used by Defendants, at any time prior to the date that Defendants processes, adjusts and pays each claim. These definitions were not imparted by Defendants to Morningside during the insurance verification or authorization process.
- 104. At all relevant times herein, Defendants have improperly payed, or failed/refused to pay anything to Morningside for the medically necessary and appropriate services rendered to Defendants' insureds, subscribers and members for those treatments, services and/or supplies rendered by Morningside. For each of the Patient claims at issue in this action, Morningside provided medical services to members and insureds of Defendants.
- 105. Following the rendition of treatment by Morningside to the Patients, invoices, bill and claims were submitted to Defendants for adjustment and payment. Morningside also provided medical records to Defendants for the treatment Morningside provided to the Patients.
- 106. For each of the claims at issue, Defendants failed and refused to adjust the claims and to issue EOB statements to Morningside in a timely manner, if so required by state law. These failures constituted an effective denial of benefits, although an actual denial of benefits was not communicated by Defendants. By virtue of its failure and refusal to issue EOB statements and to adjust the claims, Plaintiff was precluded and inhibited from appealing the effective denial of

payment on the subject claims, to the extent such actions were required by Morningside under California law.

- 107. For each of the claims at issue in this case, Defendants failed and refused to complete the claim examination process, delayed issuing EOB and EOP statements to Morningside, has requested unnecessary and irrelevant information and documentation from Morningside which has no bearing on or relevant to the claim examination process, has failed and refused to provide notification of the reasons for its failure and refusal to pay benefits and has failed to engage in a meaningful appeal process with Morningside. For each of the claims at issue in this case, Defendants has failed and refused to pay benefits in any amount whatsoever, leaving the entire charges unpaid and owed.
- 108. To the extent Defendants issued any EOB statements, Defendants did not explain how the claims were adjusted, disallowed or denied, and Defendants provided vague, ambiguous and uncertain explanations for the manner by which Defendants based its claim determination. To the extent Defendants issued any EOB statements, each was uninformative, false and misleading, thereby depriving Plaintiff and the Patients from an ability to intelligently engage in the appeal process or understand the basis and rationale for Defendants' denial of benefits.
- 109. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants' actions violated California state law, all due to Defendants' failure to provide a description of each Plan's review procedures and the time limits or deadlines applicable to such procedures.
- 110. In each of the EOB statements issued by Defendants, if any, Defendants failed to advise Plaintiff and/or the Patients of the right of the Patients and/or Plaintiff to appeal the adverse claim determination made by Defendants in any of the EOB statements concerning the right to appeal, file a grievance, seek reconsideration or otherwise engage in an administrative review process, as required by Defendants under California state law.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract (Assignment) Against All Defendants)

- 111. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every paragraph of this Complaint as though set forth herein.
- 112. Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief against Defendants includes only state law plans, none of which are governed by ERISA. Although Plaintiff does not have all plan documentation at this time, Plaintiff sets forth examples from each lawsuit of the contract on which it now brings this claim.
- 113. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00531-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. United Healthcare Services, Inc., United Behavioral Health, Optum Services, Inc.) (the "United Action"). A true and correct copy of an agreement from one of these Patients is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 4.
- 114. Each of the patients at issue in the United Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside, a true and correct copy of one such example is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 4. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the United Action.
- 115. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the United Action.
- 116. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the United Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.

5

8

10

12

14

16

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25 26

27

- 117. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the United Action obligated the Defendants in the United Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the United Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 11.
- 118. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00803-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. USAble Mutual Insurance Company, doing business as Arkansas Blue Cross and Blue Shield as well as Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Arkansas Blue Advantage) (the "USAble Action"). A true and correct copy of an agreement from one of these Patients is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 5.
- 119. Each of the patients at issue in the USAble Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside, a true and correct copy of one such example is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 5. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the USAble Action.
- 120. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the USAble Action.
- 121. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the USAble Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 122. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the USAble Action obligated the Defendants in the USAble Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable.. A true and correct

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

copy of part of a Plan at issue in the USAble Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 12.

- 123. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00803-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas, Inc.) (the "Kansas Action"). A true and correct copy of an agreement from one of these Patients is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 6.
- 124. Each of the patients at issue in the Kansas Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside, a true and correct copy of one such example is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 6. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Kansas Action.
- 125. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Kansas Action.
- 126. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Kansas Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 127. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Kansas Action obligated the Defendants in the Kansas Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable.. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Kansas Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 13.
- Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who

had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00803-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas City*) (the "KC. Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

- 129. Each of the patients at issue in the KC Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the KC Action.
- **130.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the KC Action.
- **131.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the KC Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 132. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the KC Action obligated the Defendants in the KC Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable.. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the KC Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 14.
- 133. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00776-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Health Care Service Corporation, doing business as Blue Cross Blue Shield of Oklahoma) (the "BCBS OK Action").

28 Exhibits 4, 3, 6, 7, 8

- A true and correct copy of an agreement from one of these Patients is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibit 7</u>.
- 134. Each of the patients at issue in the BCBS OK Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside, a true and correct copy of one such example is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 7. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the BCBS OK Action.
- 135. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the BCBS OK Action.
- **136.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the BCBS OK Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 137. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the BCBS OK Action obligated the Defendants in the BCBS OK Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the BCBS OK Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 15.
- 138. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00789-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama*) (the "BCBS AL Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

- 139. Each of the patients at issue in the BCBS AL Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the BCBS AL Action.
- **140.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the BCBS AL Action.
- **141.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the BCBS AL Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 142. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the BCBS AL Action obligated the Defendants in the BCBS AL Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the BCBS AL Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 16.
- 143. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00677-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company, Anthem, Inc., dba Anthem Health, Inc.) (the "Anthem Action"). A true and correct copy of an agreement from one of these Patients is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 8.
- **144.** Each of the patients at issue in the Anthem Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside, a true and correct copy of one such example

- is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibit 8</u>. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Anthem Action.
- **145.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Anthem Action.
- **146.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Anthem Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **147.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Anthem Action obligated the Defendants in the Anthem Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Anthem Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 17.
- 148. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:20-cv-00175-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Humana Behavioral Health, Inc., Humana, Inc., Humana Insurance Company) (the "Humana Action"). A true and correct copy of an agreement from one of these Patients is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 9.
- 149. Each of the patients at issue in the Humana Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside, a true and correct copy of one such example is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 9. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Humana Action.

- **150.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Humana Action.
- **151.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Humana Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 152. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Humana Action obligated the Defendants in the Humana Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Humana Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 18.
- 153. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00777-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Defendants Aetna Health and Life Insurance Company*) (the "Aetna Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 154. Each of the patients at issue in the Aetna Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside, a true and correct copy of one such example is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Aetna Action.
- **155.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Aetna Action.

- **156.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Aetna Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 157. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Aetna Action obligated the Defendants in the Aetna Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Aetna Action, if such a plan has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 19.
- 158. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-00804-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Bluecross Blueshield of Tennessee, Inc.*) (the "TN Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 159. Each of the patients at issue in the TN Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the TN Action.
- **160.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the TN Action.
- **161.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the TN Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.

- 162. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the TN Action obligated the Defendants in the TN Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the TN Action, if such a plan has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 20.
- 163. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02070-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Scott and White Health Plan., Scott and White Care Plans*) (the "Scott and White Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 164. Each of the patients at issue in the Scott and White Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Scott and White Action.
- 165. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Scott and White Action.
- **166.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Scott and White Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 167. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Scott and White Action obligated the Defendants in the Scott and White Action to reimburse

Defendants in the Scott and White Action have not produced any plan documents at this time.

168. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations. Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who

and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. The

- limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action:

 8:19-cv-02125-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Cigna Healthcare of California, Inc., Cigna Behavioral Health of California, Inc., Cigna Health and Life Insurance Company) (the "Cigna Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 169. Each of the patients at issue in the Cigna Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Cigna Action.
- 170. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Cigna Action.
- 171. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Cigna Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 172. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Cigna Action obligated the Defendants in the Cigna Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Cigna Action, if any has been produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 21.

- 173. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02136-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. HMC Healthworks, Inc.*) (the "Healthworks Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 174. Each of the patients at issue in the Healthworks Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Healthworks Action.
- 175. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Healthworks Action.
- **176.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Healthworks Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 177. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Healthworks Action obligated the Defendants in the Healthworks Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Healthworks Action, if any such plans were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 22.

- 178. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02138-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v United Medical Resources, Inc.) (the "UMR Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 179. Each of the patients at issue in the UMR Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the UMR Action.
- **180.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the UMR Action.
- **181.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the UMR Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **182.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the UMR Action obligated the Defendants in the UMR Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the UMR Action, if any such plan was produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibit 23</u>.
- **183.** Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02163-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Connecticare, Inc.*) (the "CT

- Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9</u>.
- **184.** Each of the patients at issue in the CT Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the CT Action.
- **185.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the CT Action.
- **186.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the CT Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **187.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the CT Action obligated the Defendants in the CT Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the CT Action, if any such plan was produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibit 24</u>.
- 188. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02164-DOC-DFM and 8:19-cv-02236-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Medica Health Plans, doing business as Medica*) (the "Medica Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- **189.** Each of the patients at issue in the Medica Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample

Action.

- assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Medica
- **190.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Medica Action.
- 191. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Medica Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 192. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Medica Action obligated the Defendants in the Medica Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Medica Action is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 25.
- 193. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02165-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. PacificSource Health Plans) (the "Pacific Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 194. Each of the patients at issue in the Pacific Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any

- 2
- 4

3

- 6
- 7 8
- 9 10
- 11 12
- 13 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 24

- 25
- 26
- 27

- reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Pacific Action.
- 195. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Pacific Action.
- 196. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Pacific Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 197. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Pacific Action obligated the Defendants in the Pacific Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Pacific Action, if such documents were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 26.
- 198. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02168-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc.) (the "Sierra Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 199. Each of the patients at issue in the Sierra Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Sierra Action.

- **200.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Sierra Action.
- **201.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Sierra Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **202.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Sierra Action obligated the Defendants in the Sierra Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Sierra Action, if any such plan was produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibit 27</u>.
- 203. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02122-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Medical Mutual of Ohio, Medical Mutual Services, LLC) (the "Ohio Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- **204.** Each of the patients at issue in the Ohio Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Ohio Action.
- **205.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Ohio Action.

- **206.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Ohio Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **207.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Ohio Action obligated the Defendants in the Ohio Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Ohio Action, if any such plans were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibit 28</u>.
- 208. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action:19-cv-02242-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Group Health Plan, Inc., doing business as Health Partners) (the "Health Partners Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- **209.** Each of the patients at issue in the Health Partners also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Health Partners Action.
- **210.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Health Partners Action.

- 2 3
- 5

4

- 6 7
- 8

9

- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24

- 26
- 27 28

- 211. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Health Partners Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 212. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Health Partners Action obligated the Defendants in the Health Partners Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Health Partners Action, if any such plans were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 30.
- 213. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19cv092184-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Golden Rule Insurance Company) (the "Golden Rule Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- **214.** Each of the patients at issue in the Golden Rule Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Golden Rule Action.
- 215. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Golden Rule Action.

216. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Golden Rule Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.

- 217. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Health Partners Action obligated the Defendants in the Golden Rule Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Golden Rule Action, if any such plan was produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 31.
- 218. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02180-DOC-DFM_(ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Amerihealth Insurance Company of New Jersey, doing business as Amerihealth New Jersey) (the "Amerihealth Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 219. Each of the patients at issue in the Amerihealth Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Amerihealth Action.
- **220.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Amerikealth Action.

4

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

16

17

15

18 19

20

21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

221. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Amerihealth Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.

- 222. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Amerihealth Action obligated the Defendants in the Amerihealth Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Amerihealth Action, if any such plan was produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 32.
- 223. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 88:19-cv-02182-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Meritain Health, Inc) (the "Meritain Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 224. Each of the patients at issue in the Meritain Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Meritain Action.
- **225.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Meritain Action.
- 226. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Meritain Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.

- 227. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Meritain Action obligated the Defendants in the Meritain Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Meritain Action, if any such plan was produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 33.
- 228. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02204-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Beacon Health Options, Inc., Beacon Health Strategies, LLC, ValueOptions of California, Inc.) (the "Beacon Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- **229.** Each of the patients at issue in the Beacon Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Beacon Action.
- **230.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Beacon Action.
- **231.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Beacon Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **232.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Beacon Action obligated the Defendants in the Beacon Action to reimburse and/or pay for

6

4

7 8

9

10 11

13 14

12

16

17

15

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

- the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Beacon Action, if any such plan was produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit <u>34</u>.
- 233. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02131-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Coventry Health Care, Inc. [previously 8:19-cv-09432-DOC-DFM]) (the "Coventry Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 234. Each of the patients at issue in the Coventry Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Coventry Action.
- 235. Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Coventry Action.
- 236. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Coventry Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 237. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Coventry Action obligated the Defendants in the Coventry Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Coventry Action, if any such plan was

- 238. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02219-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. MHNet Specialty Services, LLC) (the "MHNet Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- 239. Each of the patients at issue in the MHNet Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the MHNet Action.
- **240.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the MHNet Action.
- **241.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the MHNet Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **242.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the MHNet Action obligated the Defendants in the MHNet Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the MHNet Action, if any such documents were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibit</u> 36.

- 243. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02210-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Common Ground Healthcare Cooperative) (the "Common Ground Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- **244.** Each of the patients at issue in the Common Ground Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Common Ground Action.
- **245.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Common Ground Action.
- **246.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Common Ground Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **247.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Common Ground Action obligated the Defendants in the Common Ground Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Common Ground Action, if any such plan was produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 37.

13

14

12

15 16

18 19

17

20 21

22 23 24

26

25

27

- 248. Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-<u>02172-DOC-DFM</u> (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Providence Health Plan) (the "Providence Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
- **249.** Each of the patients at issue in the Providence Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Providence Action.
- **250.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Providence Action.
- 251. After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Providence Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 252. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Providence Action obligated the Defendants in the Providence Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Providence Action, if any such plans were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 38.
- **253.** Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and

- covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: <u>8:19-cv-02171-DOC-DFM</u> (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. First Health Group Corporation) (the "First Health Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8</u> and <u>9</u>.
- 254. Each of the patients at issue in the First Health Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the First Health Action.
- **255.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the First Health Action.
- **256.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the First Health Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **257.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the First Health Action obligated the Defendants in the First Health Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the First Health Action, if any such plans were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 39.
- **258.** Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02188-DOC-DFM (*ABC Services Group, Inc. v. HealthLink, Inc.*) (the

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28 Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

"HealthLink Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

- 259. Each of the patients at issue in the HealthLink Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the HealthLink Action.
- **260.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the HealthLink Action.
- **261.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the HealthLink Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- 262. At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the HealthLink Action obligated the Defendants in the HealthLink Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the HealthLink Action, if any such plans were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibit 40.
- **263.** Within the period of time permitted by the applicable statute of limitations, Morningside entered into written agreements with certain Patients who had benefits payable under the subject Plans and insurance policies issued to and covering the Patients by those defendants named in the following action: 8:19-cv-02118-DOC-DFM (ABC Services Group, Inc. v. Molina Healthcare, Inc., Molina Healthcare of California, Inc.) (the "Molina Action"). True and correct copies of sample agreements are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as

- **264.** Each of the patients at issue in the Molina Action also entered into a written assignment with Morningside. True and correct copies of sample assignments are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. When the Patients obtained the treatment from Morningside, they assigned to Morningside in writing their rights to any reimbursement and/or payment for treatment from Defendants in the Molina Action.
- **265.** Morningside provided services consistent with those services set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint to the Patients at issue in the Molina Action.
- **266.** After providing those services, Plaintiff submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants in the Molina Action, or their agents, requesting compensation for the care and treatment provided to the Patients.
- **267.** At all relevant times herein, each of the Plans at issue in the Molina Action obligated the Defendants in the Molina Action to reimburse and/or pay for the Patient's medical care pursuant to the Plan, as applicable. A true and correct copy of part of a Plan at issue in the Molina Action, if any such plans were produced, is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as <u>Exhibit</u> 41.
- **268.** To maintain the confidentiality of Defendants' plan documents, Plaintiff shall seek leave from this Court for an order to file all plan documents, Exhibits 11 through 41, under seal.
- **269.** Plaintiff either did not receive full, reasonable, and often no compensation from Defendants for the services provided.
- **270.** Despite written demand from Morningside, Defendants have failed and refused to pay such amounts.
- **271.** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, there is no legally operative term in the Plans that permit Defendants to deny Plaintiff full and/or reasonable compensation for the services Plaintiff provided to the Patients

in good faith. Plaintiff duly performed under the insurance contract and must be paid by Defendants.

- 272. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Patients, and each of them, have performed all of the obligations required of them under their respective plans with Defendants, except as otherwise may have been excused or prevented by Defendants.
- **273.** There is now due, owing and unpaid by Defendants to Plaintiff a sum not less than \$50,000,000.00, plus pre-judgment interest according to proof.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract (Third Party Beneficiary) Against All Defendants)

- **274.** Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every paragraph of this Complaint as though set forth herein.
- 275. Defendants, and each of them, entered into written agreements with their insureds pursuant to which the insureds would pay premiums to Defendants, and Defendants would provide insurance coverage to cover mental health and SUD Treatment. Copies of examples of the Plans between the Patients and certain Defendants are attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as Exhibits 11 through 41.
- 276. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that as to the non-ERISA Plans, these were executed by the Patients and the Defendants, in substantial part, for the direct benefit of health care providers, including providers of mental health and SUD treatment. Morningside, at all relevant times as a member of the mental health and SUD treatment community and provider of similar mental health care, was an intended third party beneficiary for payment of such services provided to the Patients under their respective plans.
- **277.** Each Plan was required to offer coverage for mental health and SUD treatment in parity with the medical and surgical benefits afforded by the same plan, as required by 26 U.S.C. § 9812(3)(A).

- **278.** Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Morningside is an intended beneficiary of the Patients' Plans issued by Defendants and the rights conferred thereunder. By the Assignment between Morningside and Plaintiff as set forth in Exhibit 1, Plaintiff is entitled to all rights conferred thereunder.
- **279.** Plaintiff is entitled to be paid for the services Morningside provided based on the existence and terms of the Plans covering each Patient.
- **280.** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Morningside confirmed that the Patients with Plans from Defendants were covered by a policy issued by Defendants through a required prior authorization process before rendering services. At great expense, Morningside thereafter provided medically necessary mental health and SUD treatment to the Patients.
- **281.** After providing those services, Morningside submitted appropriate claim forms to Defendants, or their agents, requesting compensation for the services Morningside provided to the Patients.
- **282.** Morningside either did not receive full, reasonable, or often no compensation for the services Morningside provided.
- **283.** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, there is no legally operative term in the Plans that permit Defendants to deny Morningside full and/or reasonable compensation for the services Morningside provided to the Patients in good faith. Morningside duly performed and payment is due by Defendants.
- **284.** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Patients, and each of them, have performed all of the obligations required of them under their respective Plans with Defendants, except as otherwise may have been excused or prevented by Defendants.

1	285.	There is now due, owing	g and unpaid by Defendants to Plaintiff a sum
2	not less tha	n \$50,000,000.00, plus pre	e-judgment interest, according to proof at
3	trial.		
4			
5		PRAYE	ER FOR RELIEF
6	AS TO TH	IE FIRST AND SECONI	O CLAIM FOR RELIEF:
7	WH	EREFORE , Plaintiff pray	s as follows:
8	1.	For an order that Defend	lants pay to Plaintiff an amount to be proven at
9	Trial	, but no less than \$50,000,	,000.00;
10	2.	For economic damages a	according to proof;
11	3.	For pre- and post-judgme	ent interest as allowed by law;
12	4.	For attorney's fees and c	eosts of suit incurred herein; and
13	5.	For such other and further	er relief as the Court deems appropriate.
14			
15			Respectfully Submitted,
16	Dated: May	7, 2020	GARNER HEALTH LAW CORPORATION
17			
18			By: <u>Craig B. Garner</u> CRAIG B. GARNER
19			Attorneys for PLAINTIFF ABC SERVICES
20			GROUP, INC., in its capacity as assignee for the benefit of creditors of MORNINGSIDE
21			RECOVERY, LLC
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the United States Constitution, and any other applicable law, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury for all claims triable by jury. Respectfully Submitted, GARNER HEALTH LAW CORPORATION Dated: May 7, 2020 /s/ Craig B. Garner By: CRAIG B. GARNER Attorneys for PLAINTIFF ABC SERVICES GROUP, INC., in its capacity as assignee for the benefit of creditors of MORNINGSIDE RECOVERY, LLC

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on May 7, 2020, I caused the 3 CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT 4 5 to be served upon counsel in the manner described below: 6 Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by 7 the Central District CM/ECF system. 8 VIA THE CENTRAL DISTRICT CM/ECF SYSTEM 9 Special Master 10 11 Stephen G Larson Larson O'Brien LLP 555 South Flower Street Suite 4400 13 Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-436-4864 14 slarson@larsonobrienlaw.com 15 16 Aetna Health and Life Insurance Company 17 Benjamin H. McCoy 18 Fox Rothchild LLP 10 Sentry Parkway, Suite 200 Blue Bell, PA 19422 20 610-397-7972 bmccoy@foxrothschild.com 21 22 John Shaeffer Fox Rothschild LLP 23 10250 Constellation Boulevard 24 Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 25 310-598-4150 26 jshaeffer@foxrothschild.com 27 28

Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company 1 Anthem, Inc. Blue Cross of California, Inc. 3 The Anthem Companies of California, Inc. 4 Steven D Allison 5 Samrah R Mahmoud Troutman Sanders LLP 5 Park Plaza Suite 1400 7 Irvine, CA 92614 949-622-2700 8 steve.allison@troutman.com 9 samrah.mahmoud@troutman.com 10 Virginia Bell Flynn 11 Troutman Sanders LLP ₁₂ || 4320 Fairfax Drive Dallas, TX 75205 13 804-697-1480 14 || virginia.flynn@troutman.com 15 Chad R Fuller 16 | Troutman Sanders LLP 11682 El Camino Real Suite 400 17 San Diego, CA 92130 858-509-6000 18 chad.fuller@troutman.com 19 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama 20 21 Neil J Barker 22 | Neil J Barker APC 225 South Lake Avenue Suite 300 23 Pasadena, CA 91101 626-440-5980 24 neiljbarker@sbcglobal.net 25 26 27 28

Health Care Service Corporation 1 2 Jonathan Daniel Gershon Amir Shlesinger 3 Farah Tabibkhoei Reed Smith LLP 5 335 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1514 213-457-8000 7 igershon@reedsmith.com ashlesinger@reedsmith.com 8 ftabibkhoei@reedsmith.com 9 Dan J Hofmeister, Jr 10 Reed Smith LLP 11 10 South Wacker Drive Suite 4000 Chicago, IL 60606 12 312-207-6545 13 dhofmeister@reedsmith.com 14 15 Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas City 16 Jonathan Daniel Gershon 17 Amir Shlesinger Farah Tabibkhoei 18 Reed Smith LLP 19 335 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1514 20 213-457-8000 21 igershon@reedsmith.com ashlesinger@reedsmith.com 22 ftabibkhoei@reedsmith.com 23 Dan J Hofmeister, Jr 24 Reed Smith LLP 25 10 South Wacker Drive Suite 4000 26 || Chicago, IL 60606 312-207-6545 27 dhofmeister@reedsmith.com 28

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Inc 1 2 Kimberly Ann Klinsport Foley and Lardner LLP 3 555 South Flower Street Suite 3300 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2411 5 213-972-4500 kklinsport@foley.com 6 7 Michael A Naranjo Jason Yon-Wai Wu Foley and Lardner LLP 9 555 California Street Suite 1700 San Francisco, CA 94104 10 415-434-4484 11 mnaranjo@foley.com jwu@foley.com 12 13 USable Mutual Insurance Company 14 Kimberly Ann Klinsport 15 Foley and Lardner LLP 555 South Flower Street Suite 3300 16 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2411 17 213-972-4500 kklinsport@foley.com 18 19 Michael A Naranjo Jason Yon-Wai Wu 20 Foley and Lardner LLP 21 555 California Street Suite 1700 San Francisco, CA 94104 22 415-434-4484 23 mnaranjo@foley.com jwu@foley.com 24 25 26 27

Bluecross Blueshield of Tennessee Inc. 1 2 Jason Jonathan Kim 3 Ann Marie Mortimer Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP 550 South Hope Street Suite 2000 5 Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-532-2000 kimj@huntonak.com amortimer@huntonAK.com 8 John B Shely 9 Bridget B Vick Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP 600 Travis Street Suite 4200 11 Houston, TX 77002 713-220-4200 jshely@huntonak.com 13 bvick@huntonak.com 14 15 Humana Behavioral Health, Inc. Humana Health Plan of California Inc. Humana Inc. 17 Humana Insurance Company 18 Ronald K Alberts 19 Norvik Azarian Gordon Reese Scully Mansukhani LLP 20 633 West Fifth Street 52nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 21 213-576-5000 ralberts@grsm.com 22 nazarian@grsm.com 23 24 25 26 27

1 United Behavioral Health United Healthcare Services Inc. 2 Optum Services, Inc. 3 Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 5 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 7 dburstein@crowell.com 8 Daniel M Glassman 9 Stephanie V Phan Jennifer S. Romano 10 Crowell and Moring LLP 11 3 Park Plaza 20th Floor ₁₂ || Irvine, CA 92614-8505 949-263-8400 13 dglassman@crowell.com sphan@crowell.com 14 jromano@crowell.com 15 Scott and White Health Plan 16 Scott and White Healthcare 17 Scott and White Care Plans 18 Derek Davis 19 Alan Law Cooper & Scully, P.C. 20 505 Sansome Street 21 Suite 1550 22 | San Francisco, California 94111 415-956-9700 23 derek.davis@cooperscully.com alan.law@cooperscully.com 24 25 26 27

1 Cigna HealthCare of California, Inc. Cigna Behavioral Health of California, Inc. 2 Cigna Health and Life Insurance Company 3 Jeanne Louise Detch Mazda K. Antia 5 Cooley LLP 4401 Eastgate Mall San Diego, CA 92121 7 858-550-6000 jdetch@cooley.com 8 mantia@cooley.com 9 Matthew D. Caplan 10 Cooley LLP 1333 2nd St. Suite 400 11 Santa Monica, CA 90401 415-693-2000 13 mcaplan@cooley.com 14 15 HMC Healthworks, Inc. 16 Kathleen V. Fisher 17 Rodney James Jacob Calvo Fisher and Jacob LLP 18 535 Pacific Avenue 19 Suite 201 San Francisco, CA 94133 20 415-374-8370 21 kfisher@calvofisher.com rjacob@calvofisher.com 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	Providence Health Plan
2	Providence Health Assurance
	Providence Health and Services
3	I D' W
4	Jose Dino Vasquez
5	Karr Tuttle Campbell 701 5 th Avenue
	Suite 3300
6	Seattle, WA 98104
7	206-223-1313
8	dvasquez@karrtuttle.com
9	Arden J. Olson
10	Harrang Long Gary Rudnick PC Oakway Financial Center
11	497 Oakway Road
12	Suite 380
12	Eugene, OR 97401
13	541-485-0220
14	Arden.j.olson@harrang.com
15	United Medical Resources, Inc.
15 16	Dylan Scott Burstein
15 16 17	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP
15 16	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor
15 16 17	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
15 16 17 18	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor
15 16 17 18 19	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com Daniel M Glassman
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com Daniel M Glassman Stephanie V Phan
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com Daniel M Glassman Stephanie V Phan Jennifer S. Romano Crowell and Moring LLP 3 Park Plaza 20th Floor
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com Daniel M Glassman Stephanie V Phan Jennifer S. Romano Crowell and Moring LLP 3 Park Plaza 20th Floor Irvine, CA 92614-8505
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com Daniel M Glassman Stephanie V Phan Jennifer S. Romano Crowell and Moring LLP 3 Park Plaza 20th Floor Irvine, CA 92614-8505 949-263-8400
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com Daniel M Glassman Stephanie V Phan Jennifer S. Romano Crowell and Moring LLP 3 Park Plaza 20th Floor Irvine, CA 92614-8505 949-263-8400 dglassman@crowell.com
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dylan Scott Burstein Crowell and Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-622-4750 dburstein@crowell.com Daniel M Glassman Stephanie V Phan Jennifer S. Romano Crowell and Moring LLP 3 Park Plaza 20th Floor Irvine, CA 92614-8505 949-263-8400

1 MHNet Specialty Services LLC 2 Benjamin H. McCoy 3 Fox Rothchild LLP 10 Sentry Parkway, Suite 200 Blue Bell, PA 19422 5 610-397-7972 bmccoy@foxrothschild.com 6 7 John Shaeffer Fox Rothschild LLP 10250 Constellation Boulevard 9 Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 10 310-598-4150 jshaeffer@foxrothschild.com 11 12 Meritain Health, Inc. 13 Benjamin H. McCoy Fox Rothchild LLP 15 10 Sentry Parkway, Suite 200 Blue Bell, PA 19422 16 610-397-7972 17 bmccoy@foxrothschild.com 18 John Shaeffer 19 Fox Rothschild LLP 10250 Constellation Boulevard 20 Suite 900 21 Los Angeles, CA 90067 310-598-4150 22 jshaeffer@foxrothschild.com 23 24 25 26 27

1 First Health Group Corporation 2 Benjamin H. McCoy 3 Fox Rothchild LLP 10 Sentry Parkway, Suite 200 Blue Bell, PA 19422 5 610-397-7972 bmccoy@foxrothschild.com 6 7 John Shaeffer Fox Rothschild LLP 10250 Constellation Boulevard 9 Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 10 310-598-4150 ishaeffer@foxrothschild.com 11 12 Connecticare, Inc. 13 Carol Burney Lewis 14 William Von Behren 15 Von Behren & Hunter LLP 2041 Rosecrans Ave. 16 Suite 367 17 El Segundo, CA 90245 310-607-9111 18 Clewis@vbhlaw.com 19 bvonbehren@vbhlaw.com 20 Golden Rule Insurance Company 21 Dylan Scott Burstein 22 Crowell and Moring LLP 23 515 South Flower Street 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 24 213-622-4750 25 dburstein@crowell.com 26 27 28

	1
1	Daniel M Glassman
2	Stephanie V Phan Jennifer S. Romano
3	Crowell and Moring LLP
4	3 Park Plaza 20th Floor
4	Irvine, CA 92614-8505
5	949-263-8400
6	dglassman@crowell.com
	sphan@crowell.com
7	jromano@crowell.com
8	Sierra Health and Life Company
9	
10	Dylan Scott Burstein
	Crowell and Moring LLP
11	515 South Flower Street 40th Floor
12	Los Angeles, CA 90071
13	213-622-4750
13	dburstein@crowell.com
14	Daniel M Glassman
15	Stephanie V Phan
16	Jennifer S. Romano
16	Crowell and Moring LLP
17	3 Park Plaza 20th Floor
18	Irvine, CA 92614-8505
	949-263-8400
19	dglassman@crowell.com
20	sphan@crowell.com
21	jromano@crowell.com
22	Coventry Health Care, Inc.
23	
	Benjamin H. McCoy
24	Fox Rothchild LLP
25	10 Sentry Parkway, Suite 200 Blue Bell, PA 19422
	610-397-7972
26	bmccoy@foxrothschild.com
27	

1	John Shaeffer
2	Fox Rothschild LLP
3	10250 Constellation Boulevard Suite 900
	Los Angeles, CA 90067
4	310-598-4150
5	jshaeffer@foxrothschild.com
6	
7	Medica Health Plans
	Elise D. Klein
8	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard and Smith LLP
9	633 West 5 th Street
0	Suite 4000
1	Los Angeles, CA 90071
	213-250-1800 Elise.klein@lewisbrisbois.com
2	Ense.kiemæje wisorisoois.com
3	Molina Healthcare, Inc.
4	Molina Healthcare of California, Inc.
5	Jonathan Daniel Gershon
6	Amir Shlesinger
	Farah Tabibkhoei
17	Reed Smith LLP
8	335 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1514
9	213-457-8000
20	jgershon@reedsmith.com
	ashlesinger@reedsmith.com
21	ftabibkhoei@reedsmith.com
22	Amari Haalth Insurance Company of New Jarsey
23	AmeriHealth Insurance Company of New Jersey
24	Francis X. Manning
25	Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young LLP
	457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 100
26	Cherry Hill, NJ 08002-2223 856-321-2403
27	fmanning@stradley.com

1	Mary Tesh-Glarum	
2	Doll Amir and Eley LLP	
	725 S. Figueroa St.	
3	Suite 3275	
4	Los Angeles, CA 90017 213-542-3380	
5	mglarum@dollamir.com	
6	Medical Mutual of Ohio	
7		
8	S. Christopher Yoo	
9	Alvaradosmith	
	1 MacArthur Pl., Suite 200 Santa Ana, CA 92707	
10	714-852-6800	
11	cyoo@alvaradosmith.com	
12	Pacificsource Health Plans	
13	The lytesource Hemin Limits	
14	Joseph C. Campo	
	Lewis Brisbois	
15	633 W. 5 th St.	
16	Suite 4000	
17	Los Angeles, CA 90071 213-680-5072	
18	Joe.campo@lewisbrisbois.com	
19	Beacon Health Options, Inc.	
20	Beacon Health Strategies, LLC	
21	ValueOptions Federal Services, Inc., ValueOptions of California, n/k/a Beacon Health Options of California, Inc.	
22	The spinors of early or many and a second frequent of early or many free	
	Henry I. Willett, III	
23	Christian & Barton LLP	
24	909 East Main Street, Suite 1200	
25	Richmond, VA 23219 804-697-4130	
26	hwillett@cblaw.com	
27		
ı	1	

1	Common Ground Healthcare Cooperative
2	William D. Naeve
3	Murchison & Cumming
4	18201 Von Karman Ave.
	Suite 1100
5	Irvine, CA 92612
6	714-953-2233 wnaeve@murchisonlaw.com
7	what ve will are misoma with a second
8	Providence Health Plan
	Providence Health Assurance
9	Providence Health and Services
10	Arden J. Olson
11	Harrang Long Gary Rudnick PC
12	Oakway Financial Center 497 Oakway Road
13	Suite 380
14	Eugene, OR 97401
	541-485-0220
15	Arden.j.olson@harrang.com
16	
17	/s/ Craig B. Garner
18	Craig B. Garner
19	Counsel for Plaintiff
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
- '	